



KIMBERLEY DRISCOLL  
MAYOR

# CITY OF SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS BOARD OF APPEAL

120 WASHINGTON STREET ♦ SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS 01970  
TELE: 978-619-5685 ♦ FAX: 978-740-0404

August 28, 2012

## Decision

City of Salem Zoning Board of Appeals

**Petition of 8 PROCTOR ST LLP requesting Variances from lot width/frontage, side setbacks and front setbacks, and a Special Permit to extend a nonconforming structure and a nonconforming use, in order to construct a 2nd story addition on the property located at 8 PROCTOR STREET (R3 Zoning District).**

A public hearing on the above Petition was opened on August 15, 2012 pursuant to Mass General Law Ch. 40A, § 11. The hearing was closed on August 15, 2012 with the following Zoning Board of Appeals members present: Rebecca Curran (Chair), Michael Duffy, Jamie Metsch, and Bonnie Belair.

Petitioner seeks Variances pursuant to Section 4.0 and Special Permits under Sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 of the City of Salem Zoning Ordinances.

### Statements of fact:

1. In a petition date-stamped August 1, 2012, petitioner requested a Variance and Special Permits to construct a 30'x18'x12', 2<sup>nd</sup> story addition on the existing commercial building located at 8 Proctor Street.
2. Petitioner Christopher Monaco (8 Proctor Street LLP) represented himself at the hearing.
3. At the hearing, petitioner stated that there would be no increase in employees or parking needs associated with the project.
4. At the hearing, no one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the project.

2012 AUG 28 A 9:27  
FILE #  
CITY CLERK, SALEM, MASS

The Board of Appeal, after careful consideration of the evidence presented at the public hearing, and after thorough review of the plans and petition submitted, makes the following findings:


1. Desirable relief may be granted without detriment to the public good and without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the zoning ordinance, since the extension of the currently nonconforming use is in keeping with the neighborhood, which contains numerous other pre-existing, nonconforming industrial uses; the proposal would not increase traffic or parking needs on the site; the project would improve the property; the expansion would be only upwards within the existing footprint; and the tallest portion of the building would be on the interior of the site.
2. Special conditions and circumstances exist affecting the parcel or building, which do not generally affect other land or buildings in the same district; owing to the odd shape of the lot, areas for expansion are limited. Therefore, literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would involve substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, to the appellant.
3. In permitting such change, the Board of Appeals requires certain appropriate conditions and safeguards as noted below.

On the basis of the above findings of fact and all evidence presented at the public hearing including, but not limited to, the Plans, Documents and testimony, the Zoning Board of Appeals voted four (4) in favor (Curran, Metsch, Belair, and Duffy) and none (0) opposed, to grant the requested Variance and Special Permits. A Variance under Section 4.0 and Special Permits under Sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 are granted to allow for the proposed addition as shown on the submitted plans and elevation drawings.

The Board of Appeals voted to grant petitioner's request for a Special Permit subject to the following **terms, conditions, and safeguards**:

1. Petitioner shall comply with all city and state statutes, ordinances, codes and regulations.
2. All construction shall be done as per the plans and dimensions submitted to and approved by the Building Commissioner.
3. All requirements of the Salem Fire Department relative to smoke and fire safety shall be strictly adhered to.
4. Petitioner shall obtain a building permit prior to beginning any construction.
5. A Certificate of Occupancy is to be obtained.

6. Petitioner is to obtain approval from any City Board or Commission having jurisdiction including, but not limited to, the Planning Board.
7. Unless this Decision expressly provides otherwise, any zoning relief granted does not empower or authorize the Petitioner to demolish or reconstruct the structure(s) located on the subject property to an extent of more than fifty percent (50%) of its floor area or more than fifty percent (50%) of its replacement cost at the time of destruction. If the structure is demolished by any means to an extent of more than fifty percent (50%) of its replacement cost or more than fifty percent (50%) of its floor area at the time of destruction, it shall not be reconstructed except in conformity with the provisions of the Ordinance.



---

Michael Duffy, Member  
Salem Board of Appeals

A COPY OF THIS DECISION HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE CITY CLERK

Appeal from this decision, if any, shall be made pursuant to Section 17 of the Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40A, and shall be filed within 20 days of filing of this decision in the office of the City Clerk. Pursuant to the Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40A, Section 11, the Variance or Special Permit granted herein shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certificate of the City Clerk has been filed with the Essex South Registry of Deeds.